

UNIVERSITY OF SÃO PAULO
INSTITUTE OF ARCHITECTURE AND URBANISM
Notice IAU-ATAc No. 06/2023 – Area of Architecture and Urbanism Project

OPENING OF REGISTRATION FOR THE PUBLIC COMPETITION OF TITLES AND EXAMS AIMING AT THE APPOINTMENT OF 1 (ONE) DOCTOR PROFESSOR POSITION AT THE INSTITUTE OF ARCHITECTURE AND URBANISM OF THE UNIVERSITY OF SÃO PAULO.

The Director of the Institute of Architecture and Urbanism of the University of São Paulo hereby announces to all interested parties that, in accordance with the decision made by the Congregation in an ordinary session held on 08/25/2023, registrations will be open for a period of 30 (thirty) days, starting at 9:00 AM (Brasília time) on 08/29/2023 and ending at 5:00 PM (Brasília time) on 09/27/2023, for the public competition of titles and exams to fill 1 (one) Doctor Professor position, reference MS-3, under the Full Dedication to Teaching and Research Regime (RDIDP), position number 1019651, with a salary of R\$ 14,761.02 (May/2023), at the Institute of Architecture and Urbanism, in the field of Architecture and Urbanism Project, in accordance with article 125, paragraph 1, of the General Regulations of USP, and the respective program as follows:

1. Art and Architecture in urban space and landscape: heritage and design;
2. Building and territory: from inventory to action in plans and projects;
3. Heritage and intervention in cities in the 21st century;
4. Strategies, theoretical currents, and approaches to interventions in the built environment;
5. City, landscape, and building: interventions at different scales;
6. From documentation to project conception: interventions in heritage;
7. Heritage, social inclusion, and housing;
8. Processes of metropolization and heritage in urban territorial structures;
9. Heritage: form, material, and structure in the conception of urban and architectural interventions;
10. Contemporary spatial requalifications and system concepts;
11. Methodological issues in research in architecture, urbanism, and landscape design.

Courses:

IAU0136 Architectural Drawing for Chemists: planning and use of built spaces;

IAU0144 Technical Drawing - E A;

IAU0145 Technical Drawing - E E

IAU0313 Technical Drawing for Environmental Engineering;

IAU0678 Drawing

IAU0951 Project V Architectural, Urban, and Environmental Heritage,

IAU0952 Landscape Architecture I

IAU0982 Landscape Architecture II

IAU2203 Thematic Seminars III-A: Memory and Heritage

The competition will be governed by constitutional principles, especially the principle of impartiality, as well as the provisions of the Statute and the General Regulations of the University of São Paulo and the Regulations of the Institute of Architecture and Urbanism.

Given the awarding of the position according to Notice CCD No. 001/2022, the primary weight of the indicated professor's professional performance should correspond, in all evaluations of their career, to the "Teaching" aspect. However, this does not exempt them from participating in all three aspects ("Teaching," "Research and Innovation," and "Culture and University Extension").

1. Registration requests must be made exclusively through the link <https://uspdigital.usp.br/gr/admissao> during the indicated period. Candidates should provide the requested personal information and attach the following documents:

I – Detailed curriculum vitae and proof of published works, relevant activities related to the competition, and other information that allows an assessment of their merits, in digital format;

II – Proof of holding a Doctoral degree awarded by USP, recognized by USP, or nationally valid;

III – Proof of military service clearance for male candidates;

IV – Certificate of electoral clearance or detailed certificate issued by the Electoral Justice within 30 days before the start of the registration period;

V – Official identification document.

§ 1 - Evidences supporting the curriculum mentioned in item I, such as models, artwork, or other materials that cannot be digitized, should be presented by the last business day before the start of the competition.

§ 2 - Links from Dropbox, Google Drive, or any other sources that can be altered by the candidate themselves will not be accepted as proof for the items listed in the curriculum.

§ 3 - For the purpose of item II, defense records without information about approval will not be accepted when the granting of the Doctoral title depends on this step within the issuing educational institution. Candidates should be aware that in this case, the absence of proof of such approval will result in the rejection of their registration.

§ 4 - Professors currently employed by USP will be exempt from the requirements of items III and IV, provided they have demonstrated compliance with these requirements during their initial contract.

§ 5 - Foreign candidates will be exempt from the requirements of items III and IV, and should prove that they are in a regular situation in Brazil.

§ 6 - A foreign candidate approved in the competition and recommended for the position can only take office if they present a temporary or permanent visa that allows remunerated activity in Brazil.

§ 7 - During registration, candidates with disabilities should request the necessary conditions for taking the exams.

§ 8 - The candidate is fully responsible for uploading each of their documents in the specific field indicated by the system available at the link <https://uspdigital.usp.br/gr/admissao>. Candidates should be aware that uploading documents in a different order from the one established there will result in the rejection of their registration.

§ 9 - The candidate is fully responsible for presenting their documents in their entirety (front and back) and in a legible file format. If the candidate does not rectify any irregularity in the uploaded document within the registration period, their registration will be rejected.

§ 10 - The belated submission of documents by the candidate will not be accepted, even as part of the appeal process.

§ 11 - During registration, candidates who self-declare as Black, Brown, or Indigenous should express their interest in participating in the differentiated scoring system specified in item 11 and its paragraphs of this Notice.

§ 12 - To be eligible for bonus points for self-declared Black or Brown candidates, the candidate should possess phenotypic traits that characterize them as Black or Brown.

§ 13 - The self-declaration as Black or Brown made by a candidate who expresses their interest in participating in the differentiated scoring system will be subject to confirmation through a hetero-identification board.

§ 14 - In the event that the racial self-declaration is not confirmed, the candidate will be disqualified from the competition and, if already appointed, subject to the annulment of their appointment to the public service or employment, following an administrative procedure that guarantees them the right to due process and defense, without prejudice to other applicable sanctions.

§ 15 - To confirm the self-declaration of Indigenous identity, the candidate must provide, during registration, the Administrative Registry of Indigenous Birth - Rani, or, in its absence, the Administrative Registry of Indigenous Birth - Rani of one of their parents.

§ 16 - Exceptional situations may be evaluated by the Inclusion and Belonging Council, which may confirm the candidate's self-declaration as Indigenous through, cumulatively, a memorial and a declaration of ethnic belonging signed by chiefs, tuxauas, indigenous community leaders, associations and/or organizations representing Indigenous peoples from their respective regions, under the penalties of the law.

§ 17 - The current rules for presenting documents related to self-declaration as Black, Brown, and Indigenous, as well as for their confirmation, are available on the website of the General Secretariat of USP (<https://secretaria.webhostusp.sti.usp.br/?p=12343>).

§ 18 - For the purpose of item III, the documents listed in article 209 of Federal Decree No. 57,654/1966 will be accepted. Male candidates who have turned 45 (forty-five) years old by December 31 of the year before the opening of registrations are exempt from this requirement.

2. The registrations will be judged by the Congregation of the Institute of Architecture and Urbanism, in their formal aspect, with the decision being published in a notice.

Sole Paragraph - The competition must take place within a period of thirty to one hundred and twenty days, starting from the date of publication in the Official Gazette of the approval of the registrations, in accordance with article 134, sole paragraph, of the General Regulations of USP.

3. The competition will be carried out based on objective criteria, in two phases, through the assignment of grades in exams, as follows:

1st phase (eliminary) - written exam - weight 3 (three)

2nd phase - I) evaluation of the curriculum with a public questioning session - weight 4 (four)

II) didactic exam - weight 3 (three)

§ 1 - The convocation of candidates for the exams will be published in the Official Gazette of the State.

§ 2 - Candidates who present themselves after the established time will not be able to take the exams.

4. The written exam, which will cover general and doctrinal topics, will be conducted in accordance with the provisions of article 139, and its sole paragraph, of the General Regulations of USP.

I – the commission will create a list of ten topics based on the competition program and will inform the candidates 24 (twenty-four) hours before the topic draw. Candidates may be asked to perform other activities during this period.

II – the candidate may propose the replacement of topics immediately after becoming aware of their statements, if they believe that they do not belong to the competition program. The judging committee will decide promptly on the validity of the allegation.

III – once the topic is drawn, an irrevocable period of five hours for the duration of the exam begins.

IV – for sixty minutes after the draw, candidates are allowed to consult books, periodicals, and other bibliographic documents.

V – notes taken during the consultation period can be used during the exam and must be made on paper endorsed by the commission and attached to the final text.

VI – the exam, which will be read in a public session by the candidate, must be reproduced in copies that will be given to the members of the judging committee when the session starts.

VII – each exam will be evaluated individually by the members of the judging committee.

VIII – candidates who obtain a minimum score of seven from the majority of the members of the judging committee will be considered qualified for the second phase.

IX – the judging committee will publicly present the notes received by the candidates.

5. At the end of the assessment of the written exam, each candidate will receive a final grade from each examiner, taking into account the potential application of differentiated scoring as per item 11 of this Notice.

6. Only candidates approved in the first phase will participate in the second phase.

7. The evaluation of the curriculum, expressed by a global grade including questioning and assessment, should reflect the candidate's merits.

Sole Paragraph – In the assessment of the curriculum, the commission will consider:

I – scientific, literary, philosophical, or artistic production;

II – university teaching activity;

III – activities related to community service;

IV – professional activities or other activities, as applicable;

V – degrees and other academic honors.

8. The didactic exam will be public, with a minimum duration of forty and a maximum of sixty minutes, and will cover the program of the aforementioned knowledge area, in accordance with article 137 of the General Regulations of USP.

I – based on the competition program, the judging committee will create a list of ten topics, of which candidates will become aware immediately before the topic draw.

II – the candidate may propose the replacement of topics immediately after becoming aware of their statements if they believe that they do not belong to the competition program. The judging committee will decide promptly on the validity of the allegation.

III – the exam will take place twenty-four hours after the topic draw. During this time, the candidate is not required to perform any other activities.

IV – the candidate may use any didactic material they deem necessary.

V – if the number of candidates requires it, they will be divided into groups of at most three, according to the order of registration, for the purpose of drawing topics and conducting the exam.

VI – when the 60th (sixtieth) minute of the exam is reached, the Judging Committee must interrupt the candidate.

VII – if the candidate's presentation ends before the 40th minute of the exam, the examiners must award the candidate a score of zero for that exam.

9. At the end of the evaluation of the exams, each candidate will receive a final grade from each examiner, which will be the weighted average of the grades awarded in the two phases, taking into account the weights mentioned in item 3 and the potential application of differentiated scoring as per item 11 of this Notice.

10. Exam grades may range from zero to ten, rounded to the nearest tenth.

11. Differentiated scoring will be applied to Black, Brown, and Indigenous candidates, as specified below.

§ 1 – The formula for calculating the differentiated score to be added to the grades in each phase of the public competition for all Black, Brown, or Indigenous candidates who have expressed an interest in participating in the differentiated scoring system is:

$$DS = (ACM - AMPPI) / AMPPI$$

Where:

DS is the differentiated score to be added to the grades in each phase of the public competition for all Black, Brown, or Indigenous candidates who have expressed an interest in participating in the differentiated scoring system.

ACM is the average score of the competition among all candidates who scored, excluding those who were disqualified, i.e., those who did not reach the minimum score referred to in items 4 and 13 of this Notice. "Broad competition" refers to all candidates who scored and did not declare themselves as Black, Brown, or Indigenous, and those who, having declared themselves as such, chose not to participate in the differentiated scoring system.

AMPPI is the average score of the PPI competition among all candidates who scored, excluding those who were disqualified.

§ 2 – The formula for applying differentiated scoring to the final grades of Black, Brown, and Indigenous candidates in each phase of the public competition is:

$$FNGPPI = (1 + DS) * NSGPPI$$

Where:

FNGPPI is the final grade in the phase of the public competition, after applying the differentiated score, which will determine the candidate's ranking in that stage of the competition, limited to the maximum score provided for in this Notice. At the end of the public competition phase, the final score will be considered the simple score for the candidate.

NSGPPI is the simple score of the beneficiary candidate, on which the differentiated score will be applied.

§ 3 – The calculations referred to in paragraphs 1 and 2 of this item should consider two decimal places, and fractions equal to or greater than 0.5 (five tenths) should be rounded up to the next whole number.

§ 4 – The differentiated score (DS) provided for in this item applies to all qualified beneficiaries, i.e., those who have achieved the minimum performance established in the competition's notice, considering, for this purpose, the simple score.

§ 5 – If no beneficiaries of differentiated scoring are qualified, differentiated scoring will not be calculated.

§ 6 – Differentiated scoring will not be applied when, in the calculation formula of the differentiated score (DS), AMPPI (average score of the PPI competition) is greater than ACM (average score of the broad competition).

12. The competition results will be announced by the judging committee immediately after its conclusion, in a public session.

13. Candidates who obtain a final grade of at least seven from the majority of the examiners will be considered qualified.

14. Candidates will be nominated by each examiner, according to the grades awarded by them.

15. The candidate who receives the most nominations from the judging committee will be proposed for appointment.

16. The appointment of the indicated candidate will be subject to approval in a medical examination conducted by the Department of Medical Expertise of the State - DPME, in accordance with Article 47, VI, of Law No. 10,261/68.

17. The appointment of the approved candidate to the position, as well as other subsequent actions, will be governed by the terms of Resolution No. 7271 of 2016.

18. The RDIDP faculty member must maintain an exclusive employment relationship with USP, in accordance with Article 197 of the General Regulations of USP.

19. The competition will have immediate validity, and only the candidate indicated for the advertised position will be proposed for appointment.

20. The candidate will be summoned for the appointment through the Official Gazette of the State.

21. Further information, as well as the relevant rules for the competition, are available to interested parties at the Academic Technical Assistance of the Institute of Architecture and Urbanism of the University of São Paulo, at the address mentioned above.